IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.1087 OF 2007
IN
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.882 OF 2007

Kedar Pradeep Gokarna. ..Applicant.
Versus
The State of Maharashtra. ..Respondent.

..

Mr.A.P.Mundargi, Sr.Counsel with Mr.S.K.Rajput, Adv. for the Applicant.
Smt.U.V.Kejariwal, APP, for the State.

..

CORAM : R.M.S.KHANDEPARKAR AND SMT.V.K.TAHILRAMANI,JJ.

DATED : OCTOBER 19, 2007.

P.C.:-

1. Heard.

2. Considering the fact that there is no eye witness to the alleged incident and the circumstantial evidence which is sought to be relied upon *prima facie* does not appear to be sufficient to bring home the charge against the applicant and further that the applicant was on bail throughout the trial and has not misused the liberty, we find this to be a fit case for grant of bail to the applicant. While the sentences being suspended, the applicant is

ordered to be released on bail unless required in any other matter on execution of the personal bond in the sum of Rs.30,000/- (Rs.Thirty Thousand Only) with one or two sureties in the like amount. He shall report to V.P. Road police Station, Mumbai on first Monday of every month between 5:30 p.m. to 6:30 p.m. during pendency of the Appeal and shall also furnish the residential address to the said police station.

4. Application stands disposed of accordingly.

[R.M.S.KHANDEPARKAR,J.]

[SMT.V.K.TAHILRAMANI,J.]